

Law of Tort (LLB020N202S) (Academic year 2024-2025)

[View Online](#)

1

Horsey, K, Rackley E. Tort Law. 8th ed. Oxford University Press 2023.

2

Quinn F. Elliott and Quinn's tort law. Twelfth edition. Harlow, England: : Pearson 2019.
<https://roe.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/roehampton-ebooks/detail.action?docID=5725745>

3

What types of Interests are protected by tort?
http://fdslive.oup.com/www.oup.com/orc/resources/law/tort/bermingham_directions5e/student/podcasts/02whattypes.mp3

4

What is Tort? Podcast.
http://fdslive.oup.com/www.oup.com/orc/resources/law/tort/bermingham_directions5e/student/podcasts/01whatistort1.mp3

5

Horsey, K, Rackley E. Tort Law. 8th ed. Oxford University Press 2023.

6

Quinn F. Elliott and Quinn's tort law. Twelfth edition. Harlow, England: : Pearson 2019.

<https://roe.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/roehampton-ebooks/detail.action?docID=5725745>

7

Horsey, K, Rackley E. Tort Law. 8th ed. Oxford University Press 2023.

8

Quinn F. Elliott and Quinn's tort law. Twelfth edition. Harlow, England: : Pearson 2019.
<https://roe.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/roehampton-ebooks/detail.action?docID=5725745>

9

Horsey, K, Rackley E. Tort Law. 6th ed. Oxford University Press 2019.

10

Quinn F. Elliott and Quinn's tort law. Twelfth edition. Harlow, England: : Pearson 2019.
<https://roe.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/roehampton-ebooks/detail.action?docID=5725745>

11

Horsey, K, Rackley E. Tort Law. 8th ed. Oxford University Press 2023.

12

Quinn F. Elliott and Quinn's tort law. Twelfth edition. Harlow, England: : Pearson 2019.
<https://roe.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/roehampton-ebooks/detail.action?docID=5725745>

13

Horsey, K, Rackley E. Tort Law. 8th ed. Oxford University Press 2023.

14

Quinn F. Elliott and Quinn's tort law. Twelfth edition. Harlow, England: : Pearson 2019.
<https://roe.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/roehampton-ebooks/detail.action?docID=5725745>

15

Horsey, K, Rackley E. Tort Law. 8th ed. Oxford University Press 2023.

16

Quinn F. Elliott and Quinn's tort law. Twelfth edition. Harlow, England: : Pearson 2019.
<https://roe.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/roehampton-ebooks/detail.action?docID=5725745>

17

Horsey, K, Rackley E. Tort Law. 8th ed. Oxford University Press 2023.

18

Quinn F. Elliott and Quinn's tort law. Twelfth edition. Harlow, England: : Pearson 2019.
<https://roe.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/roehampton-ebooks/detail.action?docID=5725745>

19

Horsey, K, Rackley E. Tort Law. 8th ed. Oxford University Press 2023.

20

Quinn F. Elliott and Quinn's tort law. Twelfth edition. Harlow, England: : Pearson 2019.
<https://roe.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/roehampton-ebooks/detail.action?docID=5725745>

21

Brennan C. Tort law directions. 9th edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2024.

22

Morris A. Spiralling or Stabilising? The Compensation Culture and Our Propensity to Claim Damages for Personal Injury. Modern Law Review 2007;**70**:349–78.
doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.2007.00642.x

23

Williams K. State of fear: Britain's 'compensation culture' reviewed. Legal Studies 2005;**25**:499–514. doi:10.1111/j.1748-121X.2005.tb00680.x

24

Evolution of the tort of Negligence.
http://fdslive.oup.com/www.oup.com/orc/resources/law/tort/bermingham_directions5e/student/podcasts/09negligenceevolved.mp3

25

Mance, J. Ex Turpi Causa - When Latin Avoids Liability. Edinburgh Law Review 2014;**18**:175–92.<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/edinlr18&id=174>

26

Jonathan Morgan. Jus suum cuique. Law Quarterly Review

27

Loss of the Chance of Cure from Cancer. The Modern Law Review Published Online First: 2005.<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjr.3699131&site=eds-live>

28

Lost Causes in the House of Lords: Fairchild v Glenhaven Funeral Services. The Modern

Law Review Published Online First:

2003.<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsj&AN=edsjr.1097628&site=eds-live>

29

Wightman, John. Nuisance. The Environmental Tort? Hunter v Canary Wharf in the House of Lords. The Modern Law Review, 11/1/1998, Vol 1998;**61**.

30

Conor Gearty. The Place of Private Nuisance in a Modern Law of Torts. The Cambridge Law Journal 1989;**48**
:214-42.https://roe.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/4507285?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

31

Maria Lee. What is private nuisance? Law Quarterly Review Published Online First: 2003.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=ICCA3E101E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

32

Liew YK. The Rule in Wilkinson v Downton: Conduct, Intention, and Justifiability. The Modern Law Review 2015;**78**:349-60. doi:10.1111/1468-2230.12118

33

Public Law Liability: A Common Law Solution?
<https://roe.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/4500825.pdf>

34

Chapter 7: Duty of care problem areas (4:20).
http://global.oup.com/uk/orc/law/tort/bermingham_directions5e/student/podcasts/

35

What is Tort.

http://fdslive.oup.com/www.oup.com/orc/resources/law/tort/bermingham_directions5e/student/podcasts/01whatistort1.mp3

36

Standard of care.

http://fdslive.oup.com/www.oup.com/orc/resources/law/tort/bermingham_directions5e/student/podcasts/13standardofcare.mp3

37

Evolution of the tort of Negligence.

http://fdslive.oup.com/www.oup.com/orc/resources/law/tort/bermingham_directions5e/student/podcasts/09negligenceevolved.mp3

38

Loss of chance.

http://fdslive.oup.com/www.oup.com/orc/resources/law/tort/bermingham_directions5e/student/podcasts/16recovery.mp3

39

Pure Economic Loss.

http://fdslive.oup.com/www.oup.com/orc/resources/law/tort/bermingham_directions5e/student/podcasts/ch07_duty_problems.mp3

40

Duty of care.

http://fdslive.oup.com/www.oup.com/orc/resources/law/tort/bermingham_directions5e/student/podcasts/ch04_duty.mp3

41

Cumulative Causes.

http://fdslive.oup.com/www.oup.com/orc/resources/law/tort/bermingham_directions5e/student/podcasts/17cumulative.mp3

42

R v F.

http://fdslive.oup.com/www.oup.com/orc/resources/law/tort/bermingham_directions5e/student/podcasts/ch14_rylands_fletcher.mp3

43

Novus Actus.

http://fdslive.oup.com/www.oup.com/orc/resources/law/tort/bermingham_directions5e/student/podcasts/19novusactus.mp3

44

Westlaw UK - legal research database. University login required off-campus.

<https://portal.roehampton.ac.uk/information/library/Pages/a-z-online-databases.aspx#w>

45

Lexis Library - for legal resources and primary source material. University login required.

<https://portal.roehampton.ac.uk/information/library/Pages/a-z-online-databases.aspx#l>

46

Nexis UK - Use this full-text database to access the title Lawyer 2B and to search across national and international newspaper and magazine titles. Login with university

Username/Password via Shibboleth/ Academic Sign-In. Avoid blank boxes requiring an ID/Password.

<https://portal.roehampton.ac.uk/information/library/Pages/a-z-online-databases.aspx#n>

47

Hein Online Law Journal Library - University login required off-campus.

<https://portal.roehampton.ac.uk/information/library/Pages/a-z-online-databases.aspx#h>

48

Perry and another v Harris (a minor and a patient, suing by his mother and litigation friend, Harris).

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/59MP-VFV1-DYBP-P54P-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

49

Lord Keith of Kinkel , Lord Brandon of Oakbrook , Lord Templeman , Lord Oliver of Aylmerton and Lord Goff of Chieveley 1988 Feb. 8, 9, 10; April 28(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. Hill v Chief Constable of West Yorkshire [1988] 2 W.L.R. 1049.

50

Cole v Davis-Gilbert and Others.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4NGK-13D0-TWP1-X06V-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

51

Tate & Lyle Food & Distribution Ltd and Silvertown Services Lighterage Ltd v Greater London Council, and Port of London Authority.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4PN7-K4M0-TXX5-50WT-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

52

Laws and others v Florinplace Ltd and another. 1981.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-3KT0-TWP1-60HK-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

53

Halsey v Esso Petroleum Co Ltd. 1961.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CRN-PPB0-TWP1-61N6-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

54

Attorney-General (on the relation of Glamorgan County Council and Pontardawe Rural District Council) v PYA Quarries Ltd. 1957.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CRN-PP40-TWP1-60X>

Y-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682

55

SHELFER v. CITY OF LONDON ELECTRIC LIGHTING COMPANY. [1894 S. 840.] MEUX'S BREWERY COMPANY v. CITY OF LONDON ELECTRIC LIGHTING COMPANY. [1894 M. 610.]. 1895.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4JWD-4KM0-TXD6-Y0GS-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

56

ADAMS v. URSELL. [1912 A. 1680.]. 1913.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4JWD-43K0-TXD6-Y02V-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

57

Delaware Mansions Ltd and another v Westminster City Council.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4DXH-MGX0-TWP1-714C-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

58

Lawrence and another v Fen Tigers Ltd and others. 2014.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/5C3W-8501-DYBP-M2VB-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

59

Lippiatt and another v South Gloucestershire Council. 1999.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-4J90-TWP1-60SY-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

60

Southport Corporation v Esso Petroleum Co Ltd and Another. 1954.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CRN-PP20-TWP1-61BG-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

61

Miller and another v Jackson and another. 1977.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-3KP0-TWP1-601X-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

62

Khorasandjian v Bush. 1993.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-49G0-TWP1-60X7-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

63

Southport Corporation v Esso Petroleum Co Ltd and Another. 1954.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CRN-PP20-TWP1-61BG-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

64

Khorasandjian v Bush. 1993.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-49G0-TWP1-60X7-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

65

Dennis and another v Ministry of Defence. 2003.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4J44-1340-TX1N-H0F8-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

66

Marcic v Thames Water Utilities Ltd. 2004.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-7PB0-TWP1-60MB-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

67

Hatton and others v United Kingdom (App no 36022/97).

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4J54-TW60-TWP1-G0P5-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

68

Holbeck Hall Hotel Ltd and another v Scarborough Borough Council. 2000.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-7PB0-TWP1-60MJ-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

69

Malone v Laskey and another.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4FPP-FDM0-TWXJ-215V-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

70

Watson and another v Croft Promosport Ltd. 2009.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/7W2S-39H0-Y96Y-G1N7-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

71

Wandsworth London Borough Council v Railtrack plc[2001] EWCA Civ 1236.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4K3D-RRF0-TXD5-X153-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

72

Tomlinson v Congleton Borough Council and Another.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4DKC-18P0-TWP1-X0FS-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

73

Hopps v Mott MacDonald.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/7W77-B9G0-YBF6-73M4-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

74

GRANT v AUSTRALIAN KNITTING MILLS, LIMITED, AND OTHERS ON APPEAL FROM THE HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4K4W-PD30-TXD8-61NC-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

75

Bolitho (Administratrix of the Estate of Patrick Nigel Bolitho (deceased)) v City and Hackney Health Authority.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/59MP-VG81-DYBP-P4FV-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

76

Bolam v Friern Hospital Management Committee. 1957.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CRN-PP50-TWP1-60FY-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

77

OVERSEAS TANKSHIP (U.K.) LTD. APPELLANTS; AND MORTS DOCK & ENGINEERING CO. LTD. RESPONDENTS. (THE WAGON MOUND.). 1961.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/5FJH-TCW1-F00Y-N13N-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

78

Wooldridge v Sumner and Another. 1962.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CRN-PP80-TWP1-6186-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

79

Shakoor (administratrix of the estate of Shakoor, (deceased) v Situ (t/a Eternal Health Company).

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/59MP-VFV1-DYBP-P4W6-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

80

Mullin v Richards.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/59MP-VFS1-DYBP-P0F3-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

81

ROE v. MINISTER OF HEALTH AND ANOTHER.; WOOLLEY v. SAME. 1954.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/5FJH-TCP1-F00Y-N4SC-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

82

MUIR AND OTHERS, TRUSTEES v CITY OF GLASGOW BANK AND LIQUIDATORS. 1879.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4JT8-8WM0-TXD8-61CH-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

83

Howarth D. Many Duties of Care--Or A Duty of Care? Notes from the Underground. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 2006;**26**:449–72. doi:10.1093/ojls/gql014

84

Rondel v Worsley. 1967.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-35T0-TWP1-60G1-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

85

Cole v Davis-Gilbert and ors.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/55Y7-JW41-DYBP-N3YP-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

86

Henderson, J. New Zealand Accident Compensation Reform. University of Chicago Law

Review 1981;**48**

:781-801.<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/uclr48&id=797>

87

Tomlinson v Congleton Borough Council and Another.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4DKC-18P0-TWP1-X0FS-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

88

READ v J. LYONS & COMPANY, LIMITED .

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4K4W-PD30-TXD8-60FM-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

89

Attorney-General v Corke (On Relation of Bromley Rural District Council).

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4FPP-FDH0-TWXJ-21G3-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

90

GILES v. WALKER. 1890.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4K3D-4R70-TXD5-X03H-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

91

Crowhurst v Amersham Burial Board.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4FPP-FDJ0-TWXJ-20HG-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

92

BRITISH CELANESE LTD. v. A. H. HUNT (CAPACITORS) LTD. 1969.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/5FJH-TCR1-F00Y-N0X3-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

93

Murphy J. The Merits of Rylands v Fletcher. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 2004;**24**:643-69. doi:10.1093/ojls/24.4.643

94

Burnie Port Authority v General Jones Pty Ltd.
<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/531V-8V31-DYJ0-81TW-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

95

Charing Cross, West End, and City Electricity Supply Co, Ltd v London Hydraulic Power Co.
<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4FPP-FDK0-TWXJ-219G-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

96

CARSTAIRS AND ANOTHER v. TAYLOR.
<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4JKJ-1J00-TXD6-H1FC-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

97

Green v Chelsea Waterworks Co.
<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4FPP-FDM0-TWXJ-20XW-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

98

Transco plc (formerly BG plc and BG Transco plc) v Stockport Metropolitan Borough Council.
<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/59MP-VFS1-DYBP-P01J-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

99

DUNN AND ANOTHER v. THE COMPANY OF PROPRIETORS OF THE BIRMINGHAM CANAL NAVIGATION. 1872.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4JTB-2380-TXD5-X0K0-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

100

Rickards v Lothian.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4FPP-FDH0-TWXJ-20PH-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

101

EASTERN AND SOUTH AFRICAN TELEGRAPH COMPANY, LIMITED PLAINTIFFS; AND CAPE TOWN TRAMWAYS COMPANIES, LIMITED DEFENDANTS. ON APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF THE CAPE OF GOOD HOPE.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4K4W-PD60-TXD8-610G-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

102

Rickards v Lothian.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4FPP-FDH0-TWXJ-20PH-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

103

NICHOLS v. MARSLAND.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4JKJ-1HY0-TXD6-H17J-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

104

Rickards v Lothian.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4FPP-FDH0-TWXJ-20PH-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

105

MASON v. LEVY AUTO PARTS OF ENGLAND LTD. 1967.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4K4F-RWN0-TXD5-X06R-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

106

PERRY v. KENDRICKS TRANSPORT LTD. 1956.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/5FJH-TCT1-F00Y-N4FJ-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

107

MONSON v. TUSSAUDS LIMITED. MONSON v. LOUIS TUSSAUD. 1894.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4K4F-RWM0-TXD5-X06P-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

108

Lewis and Another v Daily Telegraph Ltd and Another (No 2). 1964.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CRN-PP90-TWP1-615N-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

109

Sim v Stretch. 1936.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CRN-NP20-TWP1-611S-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

110

DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL APPELLANT AND TIMES NEWSPAPERS LTD. AND OTHERS RESPONDENTS.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4K4W-PD30-TXD8-60K3-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

111

E. HULTON & CO. v JONES.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4K4W-PD50-TXD8-606N-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

112

Slipper v British Broadcasting Corporation.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4DKC-18H0-TWP1-X17W-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

113

BYRNE v. DEANE. [1935. B. 3406.]. 1937.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4K4F-RWR0-TXD5-X10S-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

114

Charleston and another v News Group Newspapers Ltd and another. 1995.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-4J90-TWP1-606S-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

115

Lewis and Another v Daily Telegraph Ltd and Another (No 2). 1964.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CRN-PP90-TWP1-615N-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

116

Cassidy v Daily Mirror Newspapers, Ltd.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4FPP-FDM0-TWXJ-205R-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

117

Theaker v Richardson. 1962.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CRN-PPB0-TWP1-61FG-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

118

Berkoff v Burchill and Another.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4DKC-18V0-TWP1-X11N-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

119

Kemsley v Foot and Others. 1952.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CRN-PP30-TWP1-6112-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

120

London Artists Ltd v Littler; [And Associated Actions]. 1969.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-35S0-TWP1-61GN-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

121

Thomas v Bradbury, Agnew & Co, Ltd and another.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4FPP-FDM0-TWXJ-217Y-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

122

Roberts and another v Gable and others.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/59MP-VFW1-DYBP-P0MX-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

123

Flood v Times Newspapers Ltd.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/5DRY-NDR1-F0JY-C3RH-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

124

Tolley v JS Fry & Sons Ltd.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4FPP-FDJ0-TWXJ-20DP-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

125

Tolley v JS Fry & Sons Ltd.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4FPP-FDJ0-TWXJ-20DP-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

126

Tolley v JS Fry & Sons Ltd.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4FPP-FDJ0-TWXJ-20DP-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

127

Huth v Huth.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4FPP-FDM0-TWXJ-20DV-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

128

VIZETELLY v. MUDIE'S SELECT LIBRARY, LIMITED. 1900.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4K4F-RWM0-TXD5-X08N-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

129

Morgan v Odhams Press Ltd and another. 1971.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-35T0-TWP1-618V-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

130

KNUPPFER v. LONDON EXPRESS NEWSPAPERS, LIMITED.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4K3D-RRF0-TXD5-X09V-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

131

Godfrey v Demon Internet Limited.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/59MP-VGC1-DYBP-P4D5-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

132

Hartt v Newspaper Publishing plc & Others.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4PN7-S5H0-TXX5-501S-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

133

PERRY v. KENDRICKS TRANSPORT LTD. 1956.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/5FJH-TCT1-F00Y-N4FJ-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

134

SMEATON v. ILFORD CORPORATION. [1953 S. No. 2308.].

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4JT8-6CY0-TXD6-Y0S4-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

135

Lippiatt and another v South Gloucestershire Council.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4FD5-X820-TWP1-N1BK-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

136

Musgrove v Pandelis.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4FPP-FFM0-TWXJ-20K1-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

137

Rabone and another v Pennine Care NHS Foundation Trust.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/5J9S-1RY1-DYBP-W34C-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

138

Watson v British Boxing Board of Control Ltd and another.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4DXH-MGX0-TWP1-70P5-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

139

HOME OFFICE APPELLANTS AND DORSET YACHT CO. LTD. RESPONDENTS [ON APPEAL FROM DOREST YACHT CO. LTD. v. HOME OFFICE].

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4K4W-PD30-TXD8-61HR-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

140

Arthur JS Hall & Co v Simons; Barratt v Ansell and others (t/a Woolf Seddon (a firm)); Harris v Scholfield Roberts and Hill.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/59MP-VFT1-DYBP-P2CX-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

141

*Jones v Kaney.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/52HB-SD91-DYBP-N0N8-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

142

Caparo Industries plc v Dickman and others. 1990.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-49F0-TWP1-607B-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

143

Murphy v Brentwood District Council. 1990.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-49G0-TWP1-60B9-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

144

Perry and another v Harris (a minor and a patient, suing by his mother and litigation friend, Harris).

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/59MP-VFV1-DYBP-P54P-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

145

MARC RICH & CO. A.G. and Others v BISHOP ROCK MARINE CO. LTD. 1995.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/5F50-BF31-F00Y-N0B7-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

146

Watson v British Boxing Board of Control Ltd and another.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4DXH-MGX0-TWP1-70P5-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

147

Smith JC, Burns P. DONOGHUE v. STEVENSON-THE NOT SO GOLDEN ANNIVERSARY. The Modern Law Review 1983;**46**:147-63. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.1983.tb02511.x

148

Blyth v Birmingham Waterworks Co.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4FPP-FDJ0-TWXJ-20YD-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

149

ROE v. MINISTER OF HEALTH AND ANOTHER.; WOOLLEY v. SAME. 1954.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/5FJH-TCP1-F00Y-N4SC-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

150

Paris v Stepney Borough Council. 1951.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CRN-PP30-TWP1-61K>

Y-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682

151

Nettleship v Weston. 1971.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-3KN0-TWP1-614K-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

152

ROBERTS AND OTHERS v. RAMSBOTTOM. 1980.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/5F7T-DV01-F00Y-N4T5-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

153

WATT v. HERTFORDSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL. 1954.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/5FJH-TCP1-F00Y-N543-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

154

Vowles v Evans and another. 2003.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/5F3S-9PV1-F00Y-N2RF-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

155

Wooldridge v Sumner and Another. 1962.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CRN-PP80-TWP1-6186-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

156

Bolton and Others v Stone. 1951.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CRN-PP30-TWP1-603W-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

157

Latimer v AEC Ltd. 1953.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CRN-PP30-TWP1-60K4-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

158

OVERSEAS TANKSHIP (U.K.) LTD. v MORTS DOCK & ENGINEERING CO. LTD. (THE WAGON MOUND.). 1961.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/5FJH-TCW1-F00Y-N13N-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

159

Sidaway v Bethlem Royal Hospital Governors and others. 1985.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-3KV0-TWP1-60D3-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

160

MANSFIELD and Another v. WEETABIX LTD. and Another. 1998.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/5F4V-GGX1-F00Y-N33G-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

161

Montgomery v Lanarkshire Health Board (General Medical Council intervening).

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/5HT7-5N61-F02Y-M1BD-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

162

Donoghue (or McAlister) v Stevenson.

<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/4FPP-FDJ0-TWXJ-20X4-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

163

Van Colle and another v Chief Constable of the Hertfordshire Police (Secretary of State for

the Home Department and others intervening) *Smith v Chief Constable of Sussex Police* (Secretary of State for the Home Department and others intervening).
<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/7VBG-RMR0-Y9Y6-G0SS-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

164

What is Tort.
http://fdslive.oup.com/www.oup.com/orc/resources/law/tort/bermingham_directions5e/student/podcasts/01whatistort1.mp3

165

R v F.
http://fdslive.oup.com/www.oup.com/orc/resources/law/tort/bermingham_directions5e/student/podcasts/ch14_rylands_fletcher.mp3

166

Mance, J. *Ex Turpi Causa - When Latin Avoids Liability*. *Edinburgh Law Review* 2014; **18**:175–92. <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/edinlr18&id=174>

167

Poppleton v Trustees of Portsmouth Youth Activities Committee.
<https://plus-lexis-com.roe.idm.oclc.org/api/document/collection/uk/id/55Y7-JST1-DYBP-N4D3-00000-00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=9H6FNF57682>

168

OSCOLA - Oxford University Standard for the Citation of Legal Authorities (2012) 4th Edition.
https://www.law.ox.ac.uk/sites/default/files/migrated/oscola_4th_edn_hart_2012.pdf

169

OSCOLA Quick Reference (one page) Guide.
https://www.law.ox.ac.uk/sites/default/files/migrated/oscola_4th_edn_hart_2012quickreferenceguide.pdf

170

Nolan D, Meredith S. OSCOLA: Oxford University Standard for Citation of Legal Authorities. 4th ed. [Oxford?]: : Hart 2012. <https://www.law.ox.ac.uk/oscola>

171

Oxford Law : OSCOLA FAQs. This webpage is not an authoritative source but you may find the FAQs useful. The suggestions on this page have not yet been discussed and approved by the OSCOLA editorial board. <https://www.law.ox.ac.uk/oscola-faqs>